

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,696	07/12/2001	Hirofumi Muratani	211428US2SRD	1589
22850 7	590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
•	VAK, MCCLELLAND,	ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2131 .	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
>	09/902,696	MURATANI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment received on June 6, 2005. Claims 1-26 were originally received for consideration. Per the received amendment, claims 1, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, and 18 are amended, claims 4-5 have been cancelled, and claims 19-26 have been newly added. Claims 1-3, 6-26 are currently being considered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed June 6, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because:

Regarding independent claim 1, the applicant argues that the CPA, Shimizu et al. (EP 0982895A2), does no teach "the subkey output from the round processing circuit of a last stage being the common key." This argument is not found persuasive. The CPA offers a non-limiting example that states "it is not necessary for an encryption key and a decryption key to be the same" (paragraph 20, lines 30-35). However, the CPA states that "there is no limitation on a function to be employed in the key conversion section" (paragraph 20, lines 28-32). The examiner interprets the disclosure of the invention as providing a non-limiting example of the key output from the last stage of the round processing circuit. The key input (common key) which is subject to the round functions, can be either the encryption or the decryption key (Figures 2-3), and according to the

Application/Control Number: 09/902,696

Art Unit: 2131

disclosure, the decryption key and the encryption key can be the same or they can be

different (paragraph 20, lines 28-35), and therefore, based on this interpretation, it is

asserted that the CPA does teach that "the subkey output from the round processing

circuit of a last stage being the common key."

The new limitations to the independent claims state "wherein the plurality of round

processing circuits comprise at least a pair of round processing circuits having inverse

round functions." This new limitation is disclosed in the CPA by the fact that the round

functions employ involution functions.

The rejections for the new claims 19-26 are given below along with the rejection of all

pending claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. Claims 1-9, 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Shimizu et al. (European Patent Application EP0982895).

Regarding claim 1, Shimizu discloses:

Page 3

An encryption apparatus based on a common key encryption system in which a plurality of expanded keys are used in a predetermined order in a data randomizing process for encryption and in a reversed order in a data randomizing process for decryption, the apparatus comprising:

"a plurality of round processing circuits connected in series" (Abstract, Figure 2, column 4 lines 43-58, column 13 lines 40-45), wherein a plurality of key transformation functions (processing circuits) are connected in series, "the round processing circuit of a first stage receiving a common key and subjecting the common key to a round function to output a sub key" (Figure 2, column 13 lines 27-45), wherein the key transformation function (processing circuit) take an encryption key (common key) as an input and via the key transformation functions (round processing functions) output intermediary keys (sub keys), and "the round processing circuit of other stages receiving the sub key output from the round processing circuit of a previous stage and subjecting the sub key to a round function to output a sub key, the sub key output from the round processing circuit of a last stage being the common key" (Figure 2, column 13 lines 27-45), wherein the key transformation functions which incorporate round functions (round processing circuits) output intermediary keys (sub keys) which are then subject to another transformation at the next key transformation circuit to output a next intermediary key (sub key), and the final key transformation function outputs a decryption key (common key); and

"a plurality of expanded key generating circuits configured to receive the sub keys output from at least a part of said round processing circuits and output Application/Control Number: 09/902,696

Art Unit: 2131

expanded keys based on all or some bits of the received sub keys" (Figure 6, column 4 lines 43-53, column 7 lines 38-45, column 8 lines 54-57, column 13 lines 27-56), wherein there is a transformation function (expanded key generating circuit) which receives an intermediary key (sub key) and based on the sub key generates an extended (expanded) key;

wherein "the plurality of round processing circuits comprise at least a pair of round processing circuits having inverse round functions" (column 3 lines 20-27), wherein the conversion (function) and the inverse conversion (function) of the different stages are equal by virtue of the involution function employed in the key generation.

Claim 2 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 1, where said "plurality of expanded key generating circuits subject all or some bits of the received sub keys to a predetermined conversion processing to output the expanded keys" (Figure 6, column 4 lines 43-53, column 7 lines 38-45, column 8 lines 54-57, column 13 lines 27-56), wherein there is a transformation function (expanded key generating circuit) which receives an intermediary key (sub key) and based on the bits of the sub key generates an extended (expanded) key by using a transformation function (conversion processing).

Claim 3 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 1, wherein "the round function of the round processing circuit of i-th stage is an inverse function of the round function of the round processing circuit of (j-i+1)-th stage, j being half of the total number of stages of the round processing circuits and i being 1 to j' (column 3 lines 20-27), wherein the conversion (function) and the inverse conversion (function) of the different stages are equal by virtue of the involution function employed in the key generation.

Claim 6 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising "a selector configured to select some of the sub keys output from said plurality of round processing circuits, the selected sub keys being supplied to said plurality of expanded key generating circuits" (Figure 5, column 13 lines 27-56), wherein there is a selection circuit (selector) configured to received a selection signal and an intermediary key (sub key), which processes the selected intermediary keys (sub keys) and provides it to the next key transformation function which takes the input intermediary key (sub key) provides it to a transformation function (expanding key generating circuit) which outputs the extended (expanded) key.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,696

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 7 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 6, wherein said "selector selects the sub keys output from round processing circuits other than a first group of round processing circuits including the round processing circuit of the first stage and a second group of round processing circuits including the round processing circuit of the last stage" (Figure 5, column 13 lines 27-56), wherein there is a selection circuit (selector) configured to received a selection signal and an intermediary key (sub key), which processes the selected intermediary keys (sub keys) and provides it to the next key transformation function which takes the input intermediary key (sub key) provides it to a transformation function (expanding key generating circuit) which outputs the extended (expanded) key.

Claim 8 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 6, wherein "said selector selects one of the sub key output from a round processing circuit of i-th stage and the sub key output from a round processing circuit of (j-i+1)-th stage, j being half of the total number of stages of the round processing circuits and i being 1 to j' (Figure 5, column 13 lines 27-56), wherein there is a selection circuit (selector) configured to received a selection signal and an intermediary key (sub key), which processes the selected intermediary keys (sub keys) and provides it to the next key

transformation function which takes the input intermediary key (sub key) provides it to a transformation function (expanding key generating circuit) which outputs the extended (expanded) key.

Claim 9 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said "plurality of expanded key generating circuits change an order of the sub keys generated from said plurality of round processing and generates the expanded keys in a changed order" (column 4 lines 43-53), wherein the key conversion process can be performed in an order or in another order reverse to the order transferred between the key conversion functions.

Claim 19 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 1, wherein "the plurality of round processing circuits comprise a first half of round processing circuits and a second half of round processing circuits, a round function of the first half of round processing circuits being inverse to a round function of the second half of round processing circuits" (column 3 lines 20-27), wherein the conversion (function) and the inverse conversion (function) of the different stages are equal by virtue of the involution function employed in the key generation.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,696 Page 9

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 20 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 1, wherein "the plurality of round processing circuits comprise a first half of round processing circuits and a second half of round processing circuits, round functions of the first half of round processing circuits being inverse to round functions of the second half of round processing circuits" (column 3 lines 20-27), wherein the conversion (function) and the inverse conversion (function) of the different stages are equal by virtue of the involution function employed in the key generation.

Claim 21 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 1, wherein "each of the round processing circuits in the pair of round processing circuit having inverse functions, comprises logic elements and a plurality of output terminals, such that the plurality of output terminals are connected to different logic elements so that different sub keys are output from each output terminal" (Figures 2-3, column 7 lines 20-34), wherein an intermediary key conversion result is output to produce ciphertext.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,696 Page 10

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 22 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 1, wherein "each of the round processing circuits in the pair of round processing circuits having inverse round functions, comprises corresponding logic elements wherein the logic elements of each round processing circuit are interconnected differently, so as to output different sub keys" (Figures 2-3, column 7 lines 20-34), wherein an intermediary key conversion result is output to produce ciphertext.

- 5. Claims 11 12, and 23-26 are decryption apparatus claims analogous to the encryption apparatus claims rejected above, as the same key conversion section is employed in both the encryption and the decryption (column 3 lines 35-43), and therefore, are rejected following the same reasoning.
- 6. Claims 13 16 are expanded key generation apparatus claims analogous to the encryption apparatus claims rejected above, and therefore, are rejected following the same reasoning.
- 7. Claims 17 18 are computer-readable medium claims analogous to the encryption apparatus claims rejected above, and therefore, are rejected following the same reasoning.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Shimizu et al. (European Patent Application EP0982895) in view of Leppek (U.S. Patent No. 5,933,501).

Claim 10 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Shimizu discloses:

The encryption apparatus according to claim 1. Shimizu does not explicitly disclose "plurality of expanded key generating circuits generate the expanded keys in number exceeding the number of expanded keys required for the data randomizing process and output an expanded common key indicating which expanded keys are supplied to the data randomizing process." Leppek discloses an encryption scheme, which uses an address code generator to generate an address code sequence (expanded common key), which uses the specific sequence to select an order of encryption operators (encryption keys) to be used in a determined sequence to encrypt the data (column 4 lines 15-33). Leppek uses such a configuration of using successively different encryption operators to create an output that has no discernible

encryption footprint (Abstract). Shimizu and Leppek are analogous arts as both deal with encryption schemes that outline a processing scheme to encrypt and decrypt information. The sequence selecting function of Leppek can be placed at the output of the expanded keys and before the function of encrypting the message using the expanded keys of Shimizu in order to provide a system which selects the different encryption operator sequences based on a code sequence (expanded common key). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the encryption operator sequence selection of Leppek in conjunction with the encryption processor of Shimizu to provide a system wherein the encrypting sequences are randomized in order to avoid leaving a encryption footprint.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 571-272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA 08/19/05 Primary Examina AU 2131 8/20/05